

**ATTACHMENT A****Remarks**

Claims 1-14 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because "the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter". Although Applicant does not necessarily agree with this rejection, claims 1-14 have been canceled and replaced by new claims 15-27 which, it respectfully submitted, comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-14 have also been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being "indefinite." It is respectfully submitted that new claims 15-27 overcome this rejection.

Claims 1-5 and 7-14 have also been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based on a Candelore reference while claim 6 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based on Candelore in view of Chen.

As indicated above, claims 1-14 have been canceled and replaced by new claims 15-27. It is respectfully submitted that new claims 15-27 patentably define over the cited references. For example, it is respectfully submitted that Candelore does not disclose step (c), viz, "selecting the number of an access control message corresponding to the sending time of said request" because the selected key in the embodiment of Figure 6B only depends on the given time period and not on the sending time of a user request.

It is noted that a Supplemental Amendment will be filed within the next two or three weeks expand on the foregoing and to address the objections to the Specification, i.e., the need to insert proper headings and subheadings in accordance with 37 CFR 1.77(b), as mentioned on Page 2 of the Office Action.

**END OF REMARKS**